Language and executive functioning in young adults with Down syndrome
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Abstract

Background This study examined the association between executive functioning and language in young adults with Down syndrome (DS).
Method Nineteen young adults with DS (aged 19–24 years) completed standardised measures of overall cognition, vocabulary, verbal fluency and executive function skills.
Results Friedman’s analysis of variance ($\chi^2(3) = 28.15, P < .001$) and post hoc comparisons indicated that, on average, participants had a significantly lower overall non-verbal than verbal cognitive age equivalent and lower expressive than receptive vocabulary skills. Using Spearman correlations, performance on a verbal measure of cognition inhibition was significantly negatively related to receptive vocabulary ($\rho = -.529$, adjusted $P = .036$) and verbal fluency ($\rho = -.608$, adjusted $P = .022$). Attention was significantly positively correlated with receptive ($\rho = .698$, adjusted $p = .005$) and expressive ($\rho = .542$, adjusted $P = .027$) vocabulary. Verbal working memory was significantly positively associated with receptive vocabulary ($\rho = .585$, adjusted $P = .022$) and verbal fluency ($\rho = .737$, adjusted $P = .003$). Finally, visuospatial working memory was significantly associated with receptive vocabulary ($\rho = .562$, adjusted $P = .027$).
Conclusions Verbal and non-verbal measures of executive functioning skills had important associations with language ability in young adults with DS. Future translational research is needed to investigate causal pathways underlying these relationships. Research should explore if interventions aimed at increasing executive functioning skills (e.g. attention, inhibition and working memory) have the potential to lead to increases in language for young adults with DS.
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Introduction

Down syndrome (DS) is caused by a full or partial third copy of chromosome 21 and is one of the most common genetic disorders associated with intellectual disability (ID), occurring in about 1 in 700 live births each year in the United States (Martin et al., 2009). Children with DS experience challenges with language beyond what would be expected given their
level of ID (Grieco et al., 2015; Naess et al., 2011; Witecy & Penke, 2017). Although less studied, these relative deficits in language have been reported to continue into adulthood in DS (Grieco et al., 2015; Witecy & Penke, 2017). Language has marked consequences for adult quality of life, as it influences opportunities and experiences in employment, independent living and community involvement, as well as family and social relationships. Efforts to identify mechanisms associated with language in DS are thus critical for enhancing adult quality of life in DS.

A substantial body of research has examined the language functioning of children with DS. Delays in language begin early in life and include a slower transition from babbling to spoken words, reduced expressive vocabulary and difficulties with understanding and use of grammatical markers (Chapman & Hesketh, 2000; Roberts et al., 2007). Relative to same-aged peers without DS, school-aged children with DS demonstrate challenges in processing, understanding and producing language (Grieco et al., 2015; Lukowski et al., 2019). In many of these studies, impairments in expressive language in children with DS were greater than those in receptive language, with the former below what would be expected given non-verbal mental age (Abbeduto et al., 2003; Naess et al., 2011). There is substantially less research describing the language ability of young adults with DS. The few studies on this topic report that expressive language may continue to be below receptive language level and below what would be expected given non-verbal mental age (Martin et al., 2009; Naess et al., 2011). A better understanding of language strengths and areas of need in DS is necessary to inform language supports and interventions. In addition to impairments in language, children with DS demonstrate difficulties with executive functioning (Carney et al., 2013; Lanfranchi et al., 2010; Rowe et al., 2006). Executive functioning involves cognitive processes used in goal-driven behaviour and includes working memory (temporary storing and manipulating information), focus (directing and shifting attention), cognitive flexibility (switching between tasks) and inhibition (overriding dominant or automatic responses) (Dawson & Guare, 2004; Miyake et al., 2000). In typically developing populations, executive functioning generally matures between early adolescence and young adulthood (Hoyo et al., 2015; O’Hare & Sowell, 2008), in keeping with the developing prefrontal cortex (Lynch et al., 2019).

Relative to typically developing peers of chronological and non-verbal mental age, children with DS demonstrate poor verbal working memory (Carney et al., 2013; Godfrey & Lee, 2018; Lanfranchi et al., 2010), as well as deficits in inhibition (Borella et al., 2013; Pennington et al., 2003). Much less is known about the executive functioning of young adults with DS prior to ageing and dementia-related declines in middle and older adulthood. Rowe et al. (2006) described difficulties with verbal and visual short-term memory for adults with DS. Traverso et al. (2018) found that in a sample of children and adults with DS ($M = 14$ years, range $= 6–24$ years), inhibition skills were markedly below that of typically developing peers. Moreover, compared with typically developing peers of the same mental age, children, adolescents and young adults with DS demonstrated difficulties with verbal working memory (Costanzo et al., 2013; Seung & Chapman, 2000).

Theoretical and empirical evidence from populations without DS suggests that language ability has important connections with executive functioning (e.g. Baddeley, 2012; Ellis Weismer et al., 2005; Vugs et al., 2014). Much of the evidence for the association between executive functioning and language comes from studies on typically developing populations (e.g. Woodard et al., 2016). For example, inhibition is associated with better lexical and syntactic ability in children and young adults (Khanna & Boland, 2010), whereas working memory positively predicts auditory sentence comprehension in children (Roberts et al., 2007) and sentence production ability in young adults (Slevc, 2011). Evidence on the association between executive functioning and language is also found in populations with specific language impairments. For example, children with specific language impairment have difficulties with inhibition (Bishop & Norbury, 2005), task shifting (Marton, 2008) and working memory (Ellis Weismer et al., 2005; Vugs et al., 2014). Most of the above studies assessed executive functioning using verbal tasks (i.e. tasks that relied on verbal instructions and responses), raising concern that reported associations between executive functioning and language could be conflated due to shared reliance on verbal language ability. However, non-verbal tasks of working
memory, focus, cognitive flexibility and inhibition have also been found to be associated with receptive and expressive language (Kaushanskaya et al., 2017).

The extent to which executive functioning is associated with language in young adults with DS is largely unknown as only a handful of studies have examined this association. In a study of young and middle-aged adults with DS, better verbal working memory was associated with better semantic and phonemic verbal fluency (Stavroussi et al., 2016). In a longitudinal study, Laws and Gunn (2004) found that verbal short-term memory, assessed through digit span and non-word repetition tasks, was a strong positive predictor of receptive vocabulary and grammar comprehension in young adults with DS. Furthermore, Faught and Conners (2019) found an association between verbal tasks of executive functioning (sustained attention, inhibition and short-term memory) and vocabulary and syntax in individuals with DS. Understanding the associations between verbal and non-verbal components of executive functioning and language ability can inform formal (e.g. therapies) and informal (e.g. caregiver efforts) for enhancing language in young adults with DS.

The current study had three aims related to young adults with DS: (1) Describe language ability on measures of receptive and expressive vocabulary and verbal fluency; (2) evaluate the relationship between these language measures and overall cognitive mental age; and (3) determine the association between language and verbal and non-verbal executive functioning. We hypothesised that young adults with DS would exhibit receptive vocabulary skills comparable with that of their non-verbal mental age. In line with studies on children with DS (Abbeduto et al., 2003; Naess et al., 2011), we hypothesised that expressive language ability would be below that of non-verbal mental age. Lastly, we hypothesised that there would be a positive association between performance on verbal and non-verbal measures of executive functioning and language.

Method
Participants

Nineteen young adults with DS aged 19–24 years (10 female and 9 male) participated in the study.

Participants were recruited as part of a larger study on hearing and cognition in DS. Recruitment occurred through study flyers shared with state and local intellectual and developmental disability centres, as well as a regional DS research registry. Inclusion criteria included English as a primary language and use of spoken two- to three-word phrases as the larger planned study required multi-word responses. Exclusion criteria included the presence of a medical condition that impacted cognitive testing (e.g. use of noisy oxygen device that interfered with hearing testing) or cognitive ability (e.g. untreated cardiovascular conditions). Informed consent was completed by the participant and/or their legal guardian. Table 1 provides socio-demographic information.

Study procedure

Participants and a caregiver attended two or more study visits across multiple days to complete testing (approximately 12 h). Participants were reimbursed for travel expenses and received $140 for the larger study. Caregivers completed questionnaires about the developmental history of the young adult with DS, and participants completed directly administered assessments of overall cognitive ability, receptive and expressive vocabulary, verbal fluency and executive functioning. The study was approved by the University of Wisconsin - Madison Institutional Review Board.

Measures

Overall cognitive ability

The Kaufman Brief Intelligence Test Second Edition (KBIT-2; Kaufman & Kaufman, 2004) is a measure of verbal and non-verbal intelligence and is normed on individuals aged 4–90 years. This measure has been used with adults with DS (e.g. Hamburg et al., 2019). The verbal and non-verbal intelligence standard scores ($M = 100, SD = 15$) and mental age equivalent (in years) were analysed.

Receptive language

The Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test Fifth Edition (PPVT-5; Dunn, 2019) measures receptive vocabulary in individuals 2.5–90 + years. This measure is reliable and valid for individuals with ID.
Hearing status was examined using a pure-tone hearing threshold test (Esbensen et al., 2017). Individuals point to pictures that illustrate words. The standard scores (M = 100, SD = 15) and vocabulary age equivalent (in years) were analysed.

### Expressive language

The Expressive Vocabulary Test Third Edition (EVT-3; Williams, 2019) is a measure of expressive vocabulary and word retrieval for individuals 2.5–90+ years. The EVT-3 is a reliable and valid tool for individuals with ID (Esbensen et al., 2017). Participants say a word to label a picture. The standard scores (M = 100, SD = 15) and vocabulary age equivalent (in years) were analysed.

#### Executive functioning

Four tests were used to measure executive functioning. First, the Cat and Dog Stroop task (Ball et al., 2008) is an adapted version of a Stroop test assessing cognitive inhibition, which is appropriate for adults with DS (Esbensen et al., 2017) and has previously correlated with other measures of executive functioning in adults with DS (Ball et al., 2008; Hartley et al., 2017). Participants point to and name pictures of cats and dogs as quickly as possible. Naming time is recorded. Next, participants switch the labels (e.g. call the cat ‘dog’) as quickly as possible. Switching time and number of errors are recorded. The switching trial time was then analysed. Second, the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children Fourth Edition – Digit Span Forward (WISC-IV; Wechsler, 2003) assesses attention and has been found to have adequate test properties in young and middle-aged adults with DS (Hartley et al., 2017; Seung & Chapman, 2000). Individuals repeat a series of digits. The WISC-IV Total Score was analysed. Third, the WISC-IV Digit Span Backward is a measure of verbal working memory used with middle-aged adults with DS (Hartley et al., 2017). Participants remember and then repeat a series of digits in reverse order. The WISC-IV Total Score was used analysed. Fourth, the Corsi Block Tapping Task – Backward (Wechsler et al., 2004) is a measure of visuospatial short-term working memory that has been used with young and middle-aged adults with DS (Hartley et al., 2017; Yang et al., 2014). Participants first watch an...
Data analysis plan

Shapiro–Wilk tests were performed to examine the distribution of study variables. Results from some assessments were not normally distributed, including KBIT-2 non-verbal mental age ($W = 0.770, P < .001$), EVT-3 mental age ($W = 0.763, P < .001$), EVT-3 standard scores ($W = 0.871, P = .015$) and WISC-IV Digit Span Forward ($W = 0.793, P = .001$) and Backward scores ($W = 0.877, P = .023$). Hence, non-parametric analyses were conducted. A Friedman’s analysis of variance was conducted to examine the differences between four age equivalent measures. Bonferroni correction was conducted to adjust $P$-values for post hoc multiple comparisons. To examine whether there were deficits in receptive or expressive vocabulary relative to overall cognition, aligned ranks transformation of analysis of variance (art ANOVA) was conducted for KBIT-2, PPVT-5 and EVT-3 mental age equivalency scores. Spearman correlations were then conducted to examine the association between the KBIT-2 verbal and non-verbal mental age scores and language ability (PPVT-5, EVT-3). Finally, Spearman correlations were conducted between language ability (PPVT-5, EVT-3 and NEPSY-2) and measures of executive functioning (Cat and Dog Stroop, WISC-IV Digit Span Forward and Backward and WISC-IV Integrated Corsi Block Tapping Task – Backward). Benjamini–Hochberg correction was performed to adjust $P$-values for multiple correlations ($n = 18$).

Results

Missing data were minimal. One participant was unable to understand instructions for the switch trial of the Cat and Dog Stroop task. This individual was excluded from analysis of Cat and Dog Stroop task but included in analyses of other measures. The following floor effects (i.e. lowest possible score) were observed: Cat and Dog Stroop task ($n = 1$), EVT-3 ($n = 1$), WISC-IV Digit Span Forward ($n = 1$), WISC-IV Digit Span Backward ($n = 6$), Corsi Block Tapping Task – Backward ($n = 2$), NEPSY-2 ($n = 2$), PPVT-5 ($n = 3$), KBIT-2 Non-verbal ($n = 7$) and KBIT-2 Verbal ($n = 6$). Table 2 provides the sample mean and SD, as well as the range of average normed scores for the typically developing population when available. On average, participants’ standard scores on the PPVT-5 and EVT-3 equated to age equivalencies of 8.13 years (ranging 3.17–15.33) and 7.04 years (ranging 3.00–15.50) on the PPVT-5 and EVT-3, respectively.

Figure 1 plots the KBIT-2, EVT-3 and PPVT-5 age equivalences. The mean (markers) and SD (bars) for each age equivalent score across participants are shown on the rightmost portion of the plot. Results from a Friedman test found a significant effect of age equivalency by test ($\chi^2(3) = 28.15, P < .001$). Post hoc analysis with Bonferroni correction revealed significantly lower KBIT-2 non-verbal mental age than KBIT-2 verbal mental age ($P = .048$), EVT-3 expressive vocabulary age ($P = .048$) and PPVT-5 receptive vocabulary age ($P < .001$). Results also identified significantly lower EVT-3 and KBIT-2 verbal ages than PPVT-5 age (both with $P = .048$).

As shown in Figure 2, there was a significant positive correlation between the KBIT-2 verbal mental age and the PPVT-5 ($\rho = .821$, adjusted $P < .001$), EVT-3 ($\rho = .576$, adjusted $P = .022$) and NEPSY-2 ($\rho = .636$, adjusted $P = .014$). There was a significant positive association between KBIT-2 non-verbal mental age and the PPVT-5 ($\rho = .629$, adjusted $P = .014$) and EVT-3 ($\rho = .564$, adjusted $P = .024$) but not with NEPSY-2 ($\rho = .273$, adjusted $P = .290$).

Spearman correlations were used to assess the association between executive functioning and language. As shown in Figure 3, the Cat and Dog Stroop switching time was significantly negatively associated with the PPVT-5 ($\rho = -.529$, adjusted $P = .036$) and NEPSY-2 ($\rho = -.608$, adjusted $P = .022$) but not with EVT-3 ($\rho = -.224$, adjusted $P = .370$).

Figure 4 shows the associations between the three other measures of executive functioning and language. WISC-IV Digit Span Forward was significantly positively correlated with the PPVT-5 ($\rho = .698$, adjusted $P = .005$) and the EVT-3 ($\rho = .542$, adjusted $P = .027$) but not with the NEPSY-2 ($\rho = .247$, adjusted $P = .330$). The WISC-IV Digit Span Backward was significantly positively correlated with the PPVT-5 ($\rho = .585$,...
Table 2  Descriptive statistics for measures of language ability and executive functioning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ability</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Type of score</th>
<th>Young adults with DS (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Normal score range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verbal and non-verbal intelligence</td>
<td>KBIT-2</td>
<td>Verbal SS</td>
<td>51.44 ± 12.94</td>
<td>85–115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-verbal SS</td>
<td>52.11 ± 14.96</td>
<td>85–115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IQ composite SS</td>
<td>48.22 ± 12.01</td>
<td>90–109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Receptive language</td>
<td>PPVT-5</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>58.36 ± 14.52</td>
<td>85–115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expressive language</td>
<td>EVT-3</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>61.22 ± 12.44</td>
<td>85–115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency</td>
<td>NEPSY-2 animal category</td>
<td>Raw score (TS)</td>
<td>8.61 ± 5.33</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attention</td>
<td>WISC-IV Digit Span Forward</td>
<td>Forward TS</td>
<td>3.26 ± 1.44</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Forward longest span</td>
<td>2.78 ± 0.97</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal short-term working memory</td>
<td>WISC-IV Digit Span Backward</td>
<td>Backward TS</td>
<td>2.47 ± 2.41</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Backward longest span</td>
<td>1.73 ± 1.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive inhibition</td>
<td>Cat and Dog Stroop</td>
<td>Switching time (seconds)</td>
<td>26.93 ± 15.21</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Naming errors</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.73</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Switching errors</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.99</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visuospatial short-term working memory</td>
<td>WISC-IV Integrated</td>
<td>Backward TS</td>
<td>2.65 ± 1.87</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Corsi Block Tapping Task – Backward</td>
<td>Backward longest span</td>
<td>2.65 ± 1.06</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


adjusted \( P = .022 \) and NEPSY-2 (\( \rho = .737, P = .003 \)) but not with the EVT-3 (\( \rho = .322, \) adjusted \( P = .210 \)). The Corsi Block Tapping Backward total score showed a significant positive correlation with the PPVT-5 (\( \rho = .562, \) adjusted \( P = .027 \)) and non-significant correlations with the EVT-3 (\( \rho = .388, \) adjusted \( P = .140 \)) and NEPSY-2 (\( \rho = .421, \) adjusted \( P = .110 \)).

**Discussion**

Language is critical for adult quality of life as it shapes opportunities and experiences in employment, independent living and community engagement and social relationships. A substantial body of research has documented the language ability of children with DS (e.g. Abbeduto et al., 2003; Lukowski et al., 2019).
However, much less is known about the language ability of young adults with DS. The current study provides valuable information about the language ability of young adults with DS and is also among the first to examine the connection between executive functioning and language in young adults with DS.

The first aim of the current study was to describe the language ability of young adults with DS on measures of receptive and expressive vocabulary and verbal fluency. On average, young adults with DS scored more than 2 SDs below the mean on measures of receptive and expressive vocabulary and demonstrated variability in ability to list animals during the verbal fluency task (M = 8.61, SD = 5.33). In line with previous findings on children with DS (e.g., Abbeduto et al., 2003; Martin et al., 2009; Naess et al., 2011), the young adults with DS in the current study demonstrated larger delays in their expressive vocabulary (EVT-3) relative to their receptive vocabulary (PPVT-5). Thus, across childhood and into young adulthood, expressive language appears to be a relative weakness in DS. This finding builds on previous research describing persistent difficulties with expressive language for individuals with DS (e.g., Grieco et al., 2015). Understanding language performance in young adults with DS is a critical first step to supporting future intervention studies. Information from the current study can be used to inform families and care teams about the range of baseline ability levels expected in young adults with DS using multi-word spoken phrases, advocate for individual-level assessment and supports and help gauge intervention-related improvements.
The second aim of the current study was to evaluate the relationship between language measures and overall cognitive mental age. In contrast to our hypothesis, on average, the young adults with DS demonstrated receptive and expressive vocabulary skills that were higher than their overall non-verbal cognitive ability. This pattern is in contrast to findings for children with DS, which have often reported that expressive language is below that of overall cognitive ability (Abbeduto et al., 2003; Martin et al., 2009; Naess et al., 2011). It is possible that our finding reflects that receptive and expressive vocabulary, the specific aspect of language captured in the PPVT-5 and EVT-3, is relatively well developed relative to overall cognitive ability for individuals with DS, whereas other aspects of expressive language (e.g. syntax) are not. Previous studies have indicated that syntax and utterance complexity are areas of particular weakness for individuals with DS across the lifespan (Martin et al., 2009; Naess et al., 2011). Interestingly, verbal fluency as assessed on the NEPSY-2 only had trend-level associations with overall cognitive ability (KBIT-2). This observation may mean that verbal fluency is not as strongly tied to overall cognitive ability as is vocabulary.

Future studies examining cognition in young adults with DS might consider that the KBIT-2 was somewhat limiting (i.e. one participant performed at floor level: <4 years, 0 months). The KBIT-2 may not be well suited to describe the cognitive abilities of young adults with DS with severe and profound ID.

The final aim of the current study was to determine the association between language and executive functioning skills in young adults with DS, and important connections between the two domains were identified. Specifically, there were strong positive associations between receptive vocabulary and inhibition, attention and verbal and non-verbal working memory. Young adults with DS who had larger receptive vocabularies demonstrated higher (vs. lower) inhibition, attention and verbal and non-verbal working memory. These findings are consistent with previous research relating receptive vocabulary with attention and inhibition for adults with DS (e.g. Faught & Conners, 2019; Laws & Gunn, 2004). Verbal fluency was associated with a smaller number of executive functioning skills, namely, inhibition and verbal working memory performance. Stavroussi et al. (2016) also highlighted a relationship between verbal working memory and verbal fluency skills for...
adults with DS. The current study builds on previous research by showing that inhibition and verbal working memory in particular, rather than non-verbal working memory, may be critically related to verbal fluency for individuals with DS (e.g. Stavroussi et al., 2016). Interestingly, only attention was associated with expressive vocabulary in the young adults with DS. If these associations are borne on future longitudinal and experimental studies, they could suggest that behavioural and medication efforts aimed at strengthened executive functioning in young adults with DS must be targeted to the specific domain of interest (e.g. targeting inhibition could feasibly be a way to improve receptive vocabulary and verbal fluency, but may not strengthen expressive vocabulary). Further research is needed to determine whether executive functioning skills offer benefits to other aspects of expressive language (e.g. grammatical markers) outside of expressive vocabulary, given that such associations have been reported elsewhere (e.g. Faught & Conners, 2019; Slevc, 2011).

The current study had both strengths and limitations. The study was among the few to describe language in young adults with DS and drew on standardised measures that are commonly used in other populations. Bonferroni correction was used to control for multiple comparisons. However, the study was limited by a relatively small sample size and did not include a control group (and instead drew on norms from the typically developing population). Of note, individuals with DS have wide variability in communication skills; given our inclusion criteria, the current study’s findings may generalise most closely to young adults with DS who are using multi-word phrases. Measures of cognition and executive functioning also had limits. Floor effects and limited range were issues with the KBIT-2 and WISC-IV Digit Span Backward. In fact, the KBIT-2 age equivalent scale only goes down to 4 years, whereas the PPVT-5 and EVT-3 age equivalents go down to 2 years. Inclusion of a non-verbal measure of working memory supported ongoing efforts to better understand possible confounds involved when examining the relationship of verbal executive functioning tasks with language skills.

The study was also cross sectional, meaning that time-ordered interpretations about the connection between executive functioning and language in DS cannot be made. Although executive functioning is thought to be a part of the foundation for language, there is also evidence that language fosters improvements in executive functioning. Thus, there is a need for longitudinal and experimental research to address the directionality of these connections.

In conclusion, the current study builds on the scant literature documenting language of young adults with DS and examining the potential role of executive functioning in shaping language ability. Our results suggest that young adults with DS exhibit receptive and expressive vocabularies generally commensurate with their overall non-verbal and verbal IQ. Inhibition, attention and verbal and non-verbal working memory had strong associations with receptive vocabulary, identifying important relationships for further investigation. Inhibition and verbal working memory were also associated with verbal fluency, whereas only attention was associated with expressive vocabulary.

These findings may suggest that interventions aimed at improving executive functioning, vocabulary and verbal fluency may be a meaningful pathway for enhancing daily living skills for young adults with DS. Indeed, there is evidence from populations without DS that medication and behavioural strategies (e.g. exercises aimed at training the ability to sustain attention and focus, inhibit impulses and engage in planful behaviour) are related to subsequent gains in language in children (e.g. Friedman & Sterling, 2019). Further research is needed to determine if similar approaches are useful in DS using both direct and caregiver-report assessment.
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